SUN NUCLEAR
A MIRION MEDICAL COMPANY

AAPM 2023
A Selection of Studies Featuring Sun Nuclear Solutions

In-Vivo Patient QA
Featuring SunCHECK® Patient

PO-GePV-P-75
Intrafraction Motion Assessment Using Fn of SunCHECK PerFRACTION™
Rui He, et al., University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS
- **Purpose**:
  - *"...intrafraction motion related dose variation is performed using Fx N of SunCHECK PerFRACTION for Breast IMRT cases"*
- **Methods:**
  - *"Heart, lung and skin drawn on a phantom (CIRS) image set. ...Then this plan was delivered sequentially six time as six fractions and the results were analyzed using Fn of SunCHECK PerFRACTION. ...with different shift in one direction as a preliminary study of 0 cm, 0.2 cm, 0.4 cm, 0.6 cm, 0.8 cm and 1 cm."*
- **Results:**
  - *"The overall gamma pass rates are obviously dropped down from 88.32% at no shift to 61.65% at the shift of 1 cm. The slowly drop down of the overall gamma pass rates were seen as 87.16 % and 84.66% at the shifts of 0.2 cm and 0.4 cm. The dose variations of PTV and OARs can be seen."*
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"This study provided us some guidance to assessment the intra-fraction motion and the motion related delivered dose variations."*

PO-GePV-T-409
Search for a Proper CBCT-ED Curve for CBCT-Based Dose Calculation in an EPID-Based Dosimetry Software
Peng Qi, PhD, et al., Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH
- Used CBCT and Log Files for in-vivo analysis; 118 fractions calculated for 20 patients of brain, Head &Neck, Lung, L-Spine
- Optimized CBCT-ED curve which improved Lung cases gamma pass rates, however Brain, Head & Neck, L-Spine were unchanged.
- **Results:**
  - *"While switching from the default and new CBCT-ED curve, the pass rates of 3D gamma showed good agreement (99.74% vs 99.34%, 97.79% vs 97.69%, and 98.19% vs 98.47%) for the brain, H&N, and L-spine cases but significant improvement for the lung cases (from 91.52% to 98.97%, p « 0.05 by t-test)."*

PO-GePV-T-351
Correlation between Delivery Errors Detected By Integrated Epid Images and In Vivo Dosimetric Errors for Pretreatment Patient-Specific QA
Jun Takatsu, MS, et al., Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"AAPM TG-218 indicated that dose verification using the perpendicular composite (ex. EPID) runs the risk of masking some delivery errors. However, we discovered that EPID is more sensitive to detecting delivery errors correlated with in vivo dosimetric errors ."*

Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK®

MO-115-IePD-F2-3
Robust Planning Strategy for CBCT Guided Online Adaptive Lung SABR Treatment
Yesenia A. Gonzalez, PhD, et al., UT Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX

MO-115-IePD-F3-2
Using Aggregated Patient Specific QA Data to Assess Linac Performance
Badal R. Juneja, PhD, et al., MD Anderson Cancer Center at Cooper, Camden, NJ

PO-GePV-D-78
Prediction of the Chamber-Measured Point Dose for Patient-Specific Quality Assurance Using Machine Learning
Aitang Xing, PhD, et al., Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool, NSW, Australia

PO-GePV-P-41
Verification of Overlapping Multi-Isocenter Irradiations By Internal and External Measures
Markus Bach, Strahlentherapie Köln, Cologne, Germany

QA – SunCHECK® Patient

PO-GePV-P-55
Establishment of Quality Control System of Taichi Accelerator on the Basis of AAPM TG-119
Tingtian Pang, et al., Peking Union Medical College Hospital, Beijing, China

PO-GePV-T-219
Unification of GPR Criteria Using Bland-Altman Analysis Considering the Systematic Errors of Measurement, Calculation, and Prediction-Based PSQA Methods of VMAT for Lung Cancer
Tomohiro Ono, PhD, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology and Image-applied Therapy, Kyoto University Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto, Kyoto, Japan

PO-GePV-T-355
Evaluation of Patient-Specific IMRT QA in Online Adaptive Radiotherapy Using Cumulative Signal Difference and Gamma Analysis
Mena Bushra, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN and Manuel A. Morales Paliza, PhD, Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN

PO-GePV-T-382
Accuracy of the Gamma Analysis for Head-and-Neck Volumetric-Arc Radiation Therapy
Akito Saito, PhD, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology, Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Hiroshima, Japan

PO-GePV-T-387
Validation of a Secondary Dose Check Tool for Tomotherapy
Bin Yang, PhD, et al., Medical Physics Department, Hong Kong Sanatorium & Hospital, Hong Kong, China

Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK®

PO-GePV-T-388
Comparison of VMAT Beam Complexity with Different MLC Collimator Rotation and Their Impact on PSQA Pass Rates
David T. To, et al., William Beaumont University Hospital - Corewell East, Royal Oak, MI

PO-GePV-T-389
Total Marrow Irradiation with Dynamic Feathering and Verification with ArcCHECK
Wenzheng Feng, MS, Hackensack Meridian Health, Neptune, NJ and Joseph Hanley, PhD, Hackensack Meridian Health, Edison, NJ
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"ArcCheck phantom can be used to test the effectiveness of the dynamic feathering for TMI treatments"*

PO-GePV-T-391
Analyzing ArcCHECK Patient Specific Quality Assurance Failures in Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy with Gafchromic Film: Device vs. Treatment Delivery Limitations
Dilini S Pinnaduwage, PhD, et al., Dignity Health Cancer Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital & Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ

PO-GePV-T-477
VMAT QA: Composite Versus Beam-By-Beam Analysis
Saeed Ahmed, PhD, et al., University of Kansas Medical School, Kansas City, KS

PO-GePV-T-503
Comparison and Validation of Raystation Photon Monte Carlo (MC) Beam Model Versus Collapsed Cone (CC)
Frederick Orin Grelle, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology- University of Toledo Health Science Campus, Toledo, OH

TU-430-BReP-F3-3
Improving ArcCHECK-Based VMAT QA Results for Small-Field SBRT Plans by Reducing Acuros Xb Spatial Discretization Errors with Split-Arc Method
Chuan He, Ankit Pant and Anh H. Le, PhD, Roswell Park Comprehensive Cancer Center, Buffalo, NY

PO-GePV-T-333
Using the Trajectory Log Files for Patient-Specific QA of IMRT/VMAT Plans: Is Prime Time Finally Coming?
Guoqiang Cui, PhD, et al., Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC

SUN NUCLEAR
A MIRION MEDICAL COMPANY

AAPM 2023
A Selection of Studies Featuring Sun Nuclear Solutions

Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK® for Reflexion

PO-GePV-T-1
Feasibility of Adapting a Deterministic Boltzmann Solver for Patient Specific QA on Reflexion X1 Treatment Geometry
Ray Yang, et al., RefleXion Medical, Hayward, CA

PO-GePV-T-174
A Verification Study of the Accuracy of Biology-Guided Radiotherapy (BgRT) When the PET Contrast Deviates from the Treatment Plan
Bin Han, PhD, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

TU-1015-372-4
Patient Specific Quality Assurance Verification of Biology-Guided Radiotherapy Plans from the First-in-Human Clinical Trial
Murat Surucu, PhD, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

TU-1030-IePD-F4-1
Investigating the Effects of Changes in Biodistribution for Biology Guided Radiotherapy (BgRT)
Girish Bal, PhD, et al., RefleXion Medical, Hayward, CA

WE-930-IePD-F3-5
Evaluation of Simultaneous Integrated Boost Plans to PET-Avid Regions in Biology-Guided Radiotherapy
Girish Bal, PhD, et al., RefleXion Medical, Hayward, CA

Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK® -MR

PO-GePV-T-80
Quantification of the Radiation Attenuation of a MR-Linac Couch
Chen-Yu Huang, PhD, et al., Hong Kong Sanatorium and Hospital, Hong Kong, China

WE-1000-IePD-F7-3
Design and Evaluation of an ArcCHECK-MR QA Platform for Flexible and Fast Setup on Elekta Unity MR-Linac
Kyung Lim Yun, et al., Allegheny Health Network, Pittsburgh, PA

Stereotactic Patient QA
Featuring SRS MapCHECK®

TH-205-372-2
Quality Assurance Validation of Exactrac-Guided Brainlab Cone-Based Functional and Multileaf Collimator-Based Multimet Elements Stereotactic Radiosurgery on an Elekta Versa HD Platform
Joseph P. Dugas, PhD, et al., Willis-Knighton Cancer Center, Shreveport, LA
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"Submillimeter accuracy is achievable for both MLC-based and cone-based single-target SRS. For targets off-axis by 5cm or more, larger margins are warranted. Clinically, 1.5mm margins are used for such lesions. In all cases, patient specific QA demonstrates acceptable treatment accuracy."*

PO-GePV-P-9
A Clinical Study of Functional Cone-Based SRS on an Elekta Versa HD Linear Accelerator
Bethany L. Broekhoven, et al., Willis-Knighton Cancer Center, Shreveport, LA

PO-GePV-T-139
Patient-Specific QC of Stereotactic Partial Breast Irradiations with Gammapod System: One-Year Experience at Udine Hospital
Eugenia Moretti, et al., ASU FC Medical Physics, Udine, UD, Italy

PO-GePV-T-181
Optimization and Validation of Small Field Multi-Leaf Collimator Output Factors on an Elekta Versa HD for SRS Planning and Treatments
Bethany L. Broekhoven, et al., Willis-Knighton Cancer Center, Shreveport, LA

PO-GePV-T-335
Retrospective Analysis of Patient-Specific Quality Assurance of SRS Plans
Jie Ding, PhD, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology, University of Maryland School of Medicine, Baltimore, MD

PO-GePV-T-414
Clinical Significance of Switching from a Pencil Beam Algorithm to Monte Carlo Based SRS Treatment Plans Using Brainlab Elements
Steven M. Kirsner, MS, Richard Seier, MS and Garrett C. Baltz, MS, Scripps Health, San Diego

Stereotactic Patient QA
Featuring SRS MapCHECK®

PO-GePV-T-422
Cyberknife Precision IMRT QA Comparison between Myqa SRS Phantom and SRS Mapcheck
Wenzheng Feng, MS, Hackensack Meridian Health, Neptune, NJ, Jing Feng, MS, Philadelphia Cyberknife, Philadelphia, PA and Jun Yang, PhD, Radiation Physics Solutions, Philadelphia
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"The recommended target size criteria is: PTV<4~5cm for SRS MapCHECK and PTV>3~4cm for myQA SRS phantom."*

PO-GePV-T-437
Sensitivity of the SRS Mapcheck® to Collimator Angle Errors in Patient-Specific QA of Lung SBRT Treatment
Yongsook C. Lee, PhD, Miami Cancer Institute, Miami, FL

PO-GePV-T-130
Performance of a Commercial 3D-Gamma Calculation Method for SRS PSQA Versus High-Resolution Measurements with a Detector Array
Joseph Anthony Spano, et al., University of Chicago Medical Center, Chicago, IL

Stereotactic & MR QA
Featuring STEEV & SRS MR Distortion Phantoms

PO-GePV-T-220
Hyperarc SRS Commissioning and End-to-End QA Using an Anthropomorphic Phantom Loaded with Extended Range Radiochromic Film
Seng Boh Gary Lim, PhD, et al., Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY

SU-200-361-5
An Evaluation of a New Rapid CBCT System with Anthropomorphic Dynamic Phantoms
Didier R.P.R.M. Lustermans, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology (MAASTRO), GROW – School for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht University Medical Centre+, Maastricht, Limburg, Netherlands, Gent, Belgium

PO-GePV-M-112
A Comparison Study of 0.35T MR-Linac Image Quality with Diagnostic 1.5T and 3.0T MR Image Quality
Sunyoung Jang, PhD, et al., Penn State University, Hershey, PA

SUN NUCLEAR
A MIRION MEDICAL COMPANY

AAPM 2023
A Selection of Studies Featuring Sun Nuclear Solutions

Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK® and PerFRACTION™

PO-GePV-T-351
Correlation between Delivery Errors Detected by Integrated EPID Images and In Vivo Dosimetric Errors for Pretreatment Patient-Specific QA
Jun Takatsu, MS et al., Juntendo University, Tokyo, Japan

Stereotactic Patient QA
Featuring ArcCHECK® and Daily QA™ 3

PO-GePV-T-486
The Effect of Daily Output Fluctuations on Patient-Specific IMRT/VMAT/SBRT QA
Emmanuel O Osunkwor, PhD, et al., HUMC, Hacksensack, NJ

- **Purpose:**
  - *"To examine the impact of daily output fluctuations on patient-specific quality assurance (PSQA) for Intensity Modulated Radiotherapy (IMRT), Volumetric Modulated Arc Therapy (VMAT), and Stereotactic Body Radiotherapy (SBRT)"*

Patient QA
Featuring MapCHECK® 3

PO-GePV-T-458
Single Fraction Spine SBRT Delivered Dose Comparison between Philips Pinnacle and Elekta Monaco Using a MapCHECK3 Diode Array
Grant Debevec, et al., LSU, Baton Rouge, LA

Machine QA
Featuring SunCHECK® Machine & IC PROFILER™

MO-115-IePD-F3-4
Clinical Implementation of the ICP As an Accurate Tool to Measure Linear Accelerator Beam Output Constancy
Sameer Taneja and David L. Barbee, PhD, NYU Langone Health, New York, NY

- **Methods:**
  - *"A total of 1985 monthly linear accelerator output measurements were performed using three ICP arrays with quad wedges using SunCHECK Machine on six linear accelerators from October 2018 to November 2022. IC measurements were completed simultaneously. ICP accuracy was evaluated by comparing outputs measured by the IC and ICP."*
- **Results:**
  - *"The difference between IC and ICP output measurements over the full dataset (N=1985) was 0.16% with a standard deviation of 0.61%. ….When gain settings were standardized to 1, the bias and standard deviations were reduced to -0.02% and 0.38% (P<0.001), respectively. Calibrations and re-baselining did not affect ICP accuracy."*
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"With careful implementation, ICP measurements showed excellent agreement with IC measurements, with a standard deviation of 0.4%, which is well within the uncertainty of IC and ICP measurements. As a result, the ICP is currently being implemented as the primary measurement device for monthly output constancy with IC supplementing for output adjustment."*

Machine QA
Featuring IC PROFILER™

PO-GePV-T-121
Electron Scatter and Peripheral Dose in Electron Beam Treatment
Bishwambhar Sengupta, et al., Northwestern Memorial Hospital, Chicago, IL

PO-GePV-T-48
Efficient Routes for Treatment Planning System Commissioning
Shadab Momin, PhD, et al., Emory University, Atlanta, GA

- "Tests the feasibility of commissioning the treatment planning system (TPS) using a minimal allocation of QA resources while adhering to the MPPG5.a recommendations."
- Used the 1D Scanner and IC Profiler to achieve a more automated workflow.
- **Results:**
  - *"Overall results show a good agreement of inline and crossline profile measurements with that of calculated with the TPS."*
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"Preliminary work demonstrates the feasibility of performing TPS commissioning using only minimal QA equipment."*

PO-GePV-T-308
Validating the Use of a 2D Ion Chamber Array for Monthly Beam Output Calibration and Constancy Checks
Shiv P. Srivastava, PhD, et al., Dignity Health Cancer Institute, St. Joseph's Hospital & Medical Center, Phoenix, AZ

PO-GePV-T-386
Determination of the Relationship between Conformity Index and Average Error Parameters for Radiation Therapy Beam Profiles
Philip J. Mone, MS and Dagnachew W. Workie, PhD, Sinai Hospital of Baltimore, Baltimore, MD

MO-345-IePD-F3-4
Pulse-By-Pulse Beam Symmetry Monitoring in a Ultra-High Dose Rate (FLASH) Linear Accelerator through the Internal Ion Chamber
Luke Connell, MS, et al., Department of Radiation Physics, The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

SUN NUCLEAR
A MIRION MEDICAL COMPANY

AAPM 2023
A Selection of Studies Featuring Sun Nuclear Solutions

Dosimetry
Featuring SunSCAN™ 3D , Sun Nuclear Detectors, & 3D SCANNER™

PO-GePV-T-352
Output Factor Measurement Using Thales 3D Scanner and Edge Detector on the Mridian Linac and Comparison Against the Monte Carlo Calculated Output Factors from Multiple Institutions
Tino Romaguera, DSc, et al., Lynn Cancer Institute, Boca Raton Regional Hospital, Baptist Health South Florida, Boca Raton, FL

PO-GePV-T-21
Comparing Various Small Field Detectors for Commissioning the Reflexion X1 Treatment Planning System
Timothy Pok Chi Yeung, PhD, et al., RefleXion Medical, Hayward, CA

PO-GePV-T-430
Is EDGE Detector™ Suitable for Stereotactic Cone Beam Commissioning
Guozhen Luo, et al.,Vanderbilt University Medical Center, Nashville, TN
- **Methods:**
  - *"The Varian cone set consists of 7 different sizes including 4, 5, 7.5, 10, 12.5, 15 and 17.5 mm. The measurements were performed using a SunSCAN™ 3D tank and EDGE. ...The EGSnrc system was used to simulate the beams and calculate dose distributions."*
- **Results:**
  - *"For the %DDs, high agreements are achieved except for the smallest cones of 4 mm and 5 mm."*
- **Conclusion:**
  - *"EDGE is capable to measure accurate %dd and dose profiles for small cone beams except for cone size ≤ 5 mm. Correction factors obtained from this study can be applied to cone output factors measured using EDGE for accurate dosimetry for Varian cone set range from 4 mm to 17.5 mm."*

PO-GePV-T-311
Comparison of Measurements to Elekta's AgI Model Beam Match Criteria during Acceptance Testing of 10 Versa HDs
Andrew Grice, MS, et al., GenesisCare, Ft. Meyers, FL

PO-GePV-T-224
Automation of AAPM MPPG 5.b Validation Tests Using Raystation Scripting API: A Practical Guide for Commissioning and Routine Clinical QA
Michael Fan, MSc, et al., University of New Mexico Comprehensive Cancer Center, Albuquerque, NM

Dosimetry
Featuring Proton Therapy Dosimetry Head

SU-100-361-8
Investigation of Real-Time In Vivo Range Verification for Proton Therapy Using N-12 Imaging
Brian Zapien-Campos, MSc, et al., Particle Therapy Research Center (PARTREC), University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Groningen, Netherlands

Motion Management & MRgRT QA
Featuring Enhanced Dynamic Platform;
Dynamic Thorax, Dynamic Cardiac & Zeus Phantoms

SU-400-351-2
First Clinical Verification of Low-Cost 4D-CT Anthropomorphic Breathing Thorax Phantom with Deformable Lungs
Magdalena Bazalova-Carter, PhD, et al., University of Victoria, Victoria, Canada

PO-GePV-T-84
A Novel Phantom for Patient Specific QA and End-to-End Analysis for Real-Time Target Tracking Radiotherapy with Focus on the Accuray Radixact Synchrony System
Roland Teboh Forbang, PhD, et al., HUMC, Hackensack, NJ

WE-1000-IePD-F7-5
A Novel Phantom Design for Comprehensive Multi-Modality Respiratory Gating QA
Lee E. Stunja, MS, et al., Penn State Health Milton S. Hershey Medical Center, Hershey, PA

TH-200-361-5
Reducing Moving Metal Artefacts during Interventional Thoracic Cone-Beam CT: Motion Compensated Non-Circular Orbits
Tess Reynolds, PhD, et al., University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia

SU-300-IePD-F8-1
Is the Automatically Selected Kvp Optimal for Coronary Computed Tomography Angiography (CCTA)?
Alok Shankar, PhD, et al., Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

MO-930-IePD-F8-1
Detectability of Coronary Artery Calcification in Lung Screening Scans in a Dynamic Cardiac Phantom Study
Chao Guo, PhD, MS, et al., Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, CA

Machine QA
Featuring Advanced Electron Density Phantom

PO-GePV-P-75
Intrafraction Motion Assessment Using Fn of SunCHECK PerFRACTION™
Rui He, et al., University of Mississippi Medical Center, Jackson, MS

PO-GePV-T-409
Search for a Proper CBCT-ED Curve for CBCT-Based Dose Calculation in an EPID-Based Dosimetry Software
Peng Qi, PhD, et al., Cleveland Clinic, Cleveland, OH

TU-145-361-6
Liver Fat Quantification with an Edge-on-Irradiated Silicon Photon-Counting CT in a Virtual Imaging Trial
Raj Kumar Panta PhD, et al., Center for Virtual Imaging Trials, Duke University

WE-1000-IePD-F6-3
Methodology for Computed Tomography Characterization of Commercially Available 3D Printing Materials for Use in Radiology/Radiation Oncology
Gage H. Redler, PhD, et al., Moffitt Cancer Center, Tampa, FL

SUN NUCLEAR
A MIRION MEDICAL COMPANY

AAPM 2023
A Selection of Studies Featuring Sun Nuclear Solutions

Diagnostic Imaging QA – CT
Featuring Multi-Energy CT Phantom

PO-GePV-T-215
Dosimetric Impact of Using Dual-Energy CT Imaging for Proton Stopping Power Ratio Estimation
Hugh HC Lee, PhD, et al., Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO

SU-200-361-6
Dual-Energy Calibration for Electron Density and Proton Stopping Power Ratio in a High-Performance CBCT Imaging System
Hugh HC Lee, PhD, et al., Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO

SU-400-IePD-F8-4
Verification of Dual-Energy CT Calcium Quantification Accuracy in a Phantom System
Cayla A. Wood, PhD, et al., The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX

TU-930-IePD-F5-3
Metal Artifact Correction and Extended Field-of-View Evaluation for a New Fast CBCT System
Didier R.P.R.M. Lustermans, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology (MAASTRO), GROW – School for Oncology and Reproduction, Maastricht University Medical Centre+, Maastricht, Limburg, Netherlands

Diagnostic Imaging QA – CT
Featuring Electron Density Phantom

PO-GePV-T-293
Validation and Calibration of Stopping Power Ratio from Dual Energy CT for Proton Treatment Planning
Yu Chen, PhD, et al., MedStar Georgetown University Hospital, Washington, DC

Diagnostic Imaging - Ultrasound QA
Featuring Multi-Purpose Multi-Tissue Ultrasound Phantom & Triple Modality 3D Abdominal Phantom

SU-500-360-7
Phantom Evaluation of Ultrasound-Guided Attenuation Parameter Imaging and Quality Assurance
Zaiyang Long, Ph1, et al., Mayo Clinic, Rochester, MN

MO-345-IePD-F5-1
Development of an Acoustic Matching Layer to Couple Transducer and Target for Thermoacoustic Range Verification during Proton Therapy
William Emmet Bethard, BS, Acoustic Range Estimates, LLC, Chicago, IL

TU-1000-IePD-F2-4
Improved Image Super-Resolution Reconstruction Using Implicit Neural Representation with Prior Embedding for Real-Time Cine MRI of the MR-Guided Radiotherapy System: A Feasibility Study
Yu Gao, et al., Department of Radiation Oncology, Stanford University, Stanford, CA

Training
Featuring Female Ultrasound Training Pelvis

PO-GePV-T-55
Design and Validation of a Novel Anthropomorphic Phantom for the Commissioning of MRI-Only Workflows in HDR Brachytherapy of the Cervix
Jorge E. Alpuche Aviles, et al., CancerCare Manitoba, Winnipeg, MB, Canada

Fluoroscopy
Featuring Radiography Fluoroscopy QA Phantom

TU-345-IePD-F9-1
Novel Radiofrequency Localization for Dose Reduction in Interventional Fluoroscopy Procedures
Farhad Jafari, et al., University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN

Diagnostic Imaging - Mammo QA
Featuring Mammography & Digital Breast Tomosynthesis QC Phantoms

TU-1030-IePD-F1-5
Visual Evaluation of Detectability in Mammography, Tomosynthesis, and Synthetic 2D
Loretta M. Johnson, PhD, et al., UAB Medical Center, Birmingham, AL

TU-115-IePD-F1-5
Evaluating the Effectiveness of Transfer Learning in an Automated Mammogram Image Quality Assessment Model
Pei-Shan Ho, MSc, et al., Department of Engineering & System Science, National Tsing Hua University, Taiwan

MIRION TECHNOLOGIES

Occupational Dosimetry
Featuring Mirion Technologies' DMC 3000™ Personal Electronic Dosimeter*

PO-GePV-I
Evaluation and Implementation of a Portable Radiation Shielding System in Cardiac Catheterization (Cath) Labs
Troy D. Jacobs, et al., Willis-Knighton Cancer Center, Shreveport, LA

*DMC 3000 is manufactured by Sun Nuclear parent company, Mirion